

**QUESTIONNAIRE CONCERNING PRACTICAL MECHANISMS FOR FACILITATING  
DIRECT INTERNATIONAL JUDICIAL COMMUNICATIONS IN THE CONTEXT OF  
THE HAGUE CONVENTION OF 25 OCTOBER 1980 ON THE  
CIVIL ASPECTS OF INTERNATIONAL CHILD ABDUCTION**

**III – THE QUESTIONS**

**A. The feasibility and/or desirability of the appointment of a liaison judge or authority**

1. Has a nomination been made in your country of a judge or other person or authority with responsibility to facilitate at the international level communications between judges or between a judge and another authority in cases involving child abduction or access/contact?

- NO

2. If an appointment has not yet been made in your country, would such a nomination face any legal difficulties or constraints? Are there specific concerns you would like to raise regarding the feasibility and/or desirability of an appointment of a liaison judge/authority?

- NO

**B. Administrative aspects**

1. If a judge or authority has been nominated:
  - (a) What procedure was used in making the appointment?
  - (b) What role and functions have been attributed to the judge or authority?
  - (c) How does the judge or authority (if it is not the Central Authority) relate to the Central Authority in carrying out his/her functions?
  - (d) Have any arrangements been made with respect to possible language difficulties?

(e) Has the appointment been communicated to the Permanent Bureau?

(f) Has the appointment been communicated to other States?

**- Because a liaison judge or authority has not been appointed yet we do not have an opportunity to reply to the abovementioned questions**

2. If a judge or authority has not been nominated, please give any views you have on how the matters referred to in 1 (a), (b), (c) and (d) above might be addressed in your country.

**- NO**

3. In the case of Federal States or States with more than one system of law, are there any particular difficulties in establishing a liaison structure and how might these be addressed?

**- Uzbekistan is not a member of this group of countries**

### **C. Practical and legal aspects**

1. To what extent are communications at the international level at present practiced in your country? Please provide examples.

**- Such communications are not practiced yet**

2. Have there been any judicial decisions in your country in which judicial communications at the international level have been discussed?

**- NO**

3. What procedural and legal safeguards should surround communications at the international level between judges or between a judge and another authority in the context of cases involving child abduction or access/contact?

**- Such safeguards must be proceeded by countries members of the Convention**

Please comment in particular on:

➤ any limits on the subject-matter of communications;

➤ requirements concerning advance notification to parties, the presence of parties or their legal representatives (e.g. by use of conference call

facilities), record keeping and confirmation in writing of the substance of the communication.

**Because a liaison judge or authority has not been appointed yet we do not have an opportunity to comment the abovementioned questions**

#### **D. General**

1. Have you any general comments or suggestions concerning the development of the international judicial liaison network?  
- **NO**
2. Is your country involved in international judicial networks, including at regional level, in other areas of civil law?  
- **Not yet**
3. Would your country support the holding of more judicial and other seminars, both national and international, on issues concerning the 1980 Hague Convention?  
- **Yes**